

May 13, 1975

campus for UNO. Now this money that has been pledged I think that we have provided hundreds of thousands of dollars for studies in other areas that I think the studies are gathering dust. Now this hundred thousand for this is a small amount to tie down five million dollars that is available. The balance of the cost of the construction can be pro-rated over several years for state financing. Now this has as Senator George has mentioned, not only UNO will take advantage of this building but other colleges in the area like Bellevue, Creighton University and any colleges in the area can also hold classes in this building which would give the working people before work, after work, in the evening and possibly even during the lunch hour. I think that the people should look a favorably upon this amendment.

SPEAKER: Any further discussion? If not, Senator Mahoney you may close.

SENATOR MAHONEY: Mr. President, I have no closing other than to say that I think that the Bereuter \$10,000 is for putting together as he mentioned in his debate of preparing the report. The \$90,000 extra that we ask in this is to show the good faith and to prove to the donor that we will have the money so that we can come back with five million dollars.

SPEAKER: Senator Mahoney has closed. The question is shall the amendment be adopted. Have you all voted? Shall the Mahoney amendment be adopted? The amendment to LB611. Have you all voted? Clerk will record.

CLERK: 18 ayes, 14 nays Mr. President.

SPEAKER: Amendment fails. Another amendment on the desk?

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a motion to adjourn.

SPEAKER: Members of the body, if you are sincere and you want to adjourn with a possibility for over riding certain bills these bills 609, 605 and 604 must be handled this evening yet. Recognize Senator Dickinson.

SENATOR DICKINSON: Mr. Speaker, it is my motion to adjourn and I respect your wishes and realize what you are trying to do and the pressure that were working under. We do not have a full body and when you said that you think that we have to handle 609, did you say and 611? Are you saying then that if they are advanced in order to meet the schedule the possible over ride that they could not then be returned again which would take amendments which I know will be offered tomorrow? If that is so, we would have gained nothing, is that correct? By advancing them tonight. There is not enough body here to attempt the amendments that I know will be attempted tomorrow. Some of us have commitments this evening and we have stayed to 6:30 and I know that two or three of us are going to have to leave and with that in mind, that is the reason that I send the motion up to adjourn. I just think that were beyond the point of meaningful legislation and I'll let you respond Mr. Speaker. Is it true if you advance the bills tonight and somebody